arXiv:1601.02052v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 8 Jan 2016

^{29}Si nuclear magnetic resonance study of URu_2Si_2 under pressure

K. R. Shirer[a,*], A. P. Dioguardi[a], B. T. Bush[a], J. Crocker[a], C. H. Lin[a], P. Klavins[a], J. C. Cooley[b], M. B. Maple[c], K. B. Chang[d], K. R. Poeppelmeier[d], N. J. Curro[a]

[a]*Department of Physics, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA*
[b]*Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA*
[c]*Department of Physics and Institute for Pure and Applied Physical Sciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093-0319, USA*
[d]*Northwestern University, 2145 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL 60208, USA*

Abstract

We report ^{29}Si nuclear magnetic resonance measurements of single crystals and aligned powders of URu_2Si_2 under pressure in the hidden order and paramagnetic phases. We find that the Knight shift decreases with applied pressure, consistent with previous measurements of the static magnetic susceptibility. Previous measurements of the spin lattice relaxation time revealed a partial suppression of the density of states below 30 K. This suppression persists under pressure, and the onset temperature is mildly enhanced.

Keywords: URu_2Si_2, hidden order, NMR, pressure

1. Introduction

$URu_2Si_2$is a heavy fermion material that has captured the interest of the condensed matter community for over 25 years [1, 2]. In addition to an unconventional superconducting state below 1.5K, URu_2Si_2 undergoes a phase transition at $T_{HO} = 17.5K$ [1, 3], the order parameter of which is yet undetermined. The nature of the hidden order phase remains controversial, but it clearly does

*Corresponding author
Email address: krshirer@ucdavis.edu (K. R. Shirer)

not involve magnetic ordering of dipole moments [4]. Extensive neutron scattering work has suggested that it has an itinerant nature and involves some type of Fermi surface instability [4, 5, 6], and recent Raman spectroscopy experiments indicate that the hidden order consists of a chirality density wave [7]. It is likely that this exotic phase emerges in this material due to the unusual symmetry of the crystalline electric field states [8].

An analysis of previous thermodynamic and neutron scattering measurements in the context of hidden order gap fluctuations uncovered the possible existence of a pseudogap occurring at a temperature scale $T_0 > T_{HO}$, and recent nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments at ambient pressure indicated $T_0 \sim 30K$ [9, 10]. The presence of anomalies between 25-30K in magnetic susceptibility [11], point contact spectroscopy (PCS) [12], and neutron scattering measurements [5, 13], as well as in other thermodynamic probes (heat capacity, thermal expansion and ultrasound velocity), which are sensitive to changes in the elastic constants of the crystal lattice, also suggest this temperature scale [14, 15, 16, 17]. Ultrafast and conventional optical spectroscopy have also found a suppression of low energy spectral weight below 30 K [18, 19, 20].

Under hydrostatic pressure, T_{HO} increases, and above 4.7 kbar URu_2Si_2 undergoes a phase transition to a large moment antiferromagnetic (LMAF) ground state [21, 22]. Little is known, however, about the behavior of T_0 under pressure. In order to investigate how the suppression of the density of states evolves, we performed ^{29}Si NMR experiments up to 9.1 kbar in the paramagnetic region of the phase diagram. We find that this suppression persists with the application of pressure, and that T_0 increases slightly.

2. Sample Preparation and Pressurization

^{29}Si NMR ($I = 1/2$, 4.7% natural abundance) measurements were conducted under pressure on a single crystal at 2.0 kbar and on an aligned powder at 9.1 kbar. Details of the sample preparation of both the single crystal and aligned powder are given in Ref. [10]. The signal to noise ratio in a single-crystal in

a pressure cell is poor because the high conductivity of the sample screens out the radiofrequency fields of the pulsed NMR experiment, and small crystals are necessary due to the confined space within the pressure cell. As shown previously, the spin-lattice-relaxation rate measured in an aligned powder is identical to that in a single crystal, and the data is reproducible across different samples. Therefore we switched to the aligned powder for the higher pressure experiments in order to enhance signal to noise. Pressure was applied using a Cu-Be piston-cylinder clamp cell, with daphne oil (Idemitsu Co., Ltd., type 7373) as a pressure medium.

In order to accurately measure the pressure, we first tested the cell with two different types of manometers: ruby fluorescence at 532 nm and ^{63}Cu NQR of Cu_2O. The fluorescence was measured by incorporating an optical fiber (200 μm diameter with Al cladding) in the feedthrough to the pressure cell. A ruby chip of diameter $< 300\mu$m was fixed to the end of the fiber with epoxy. The temperature dependence of the pressure in the cell measured using both the shift of the ruby fluorescence line, and the shift of the ^{63}Cu NQR frequency in a single crystal of Cu_2O for various load pressures applied to the cell at room temperature [23, 24, 25]. Both measurement techniques indicate that the pressure changes with temperature on the order of $\sim 10\%$ between room temperature and 4K. This change is likely due to differences in thermal contraction between different elements of the pressure cell. Curiously, the NQR measurement consistently gives a slightly lower pressure ($\sim 10 - 15\%$) than the ruby fluorescence, albeit with a similar temperature trend. We attribute this discrepancy to differences in calibrations. For example, the NQR calibration is based on low temperature measurements of the T_c of superconducting Sn, whereas the ruby fluorescence calibration is based on x-ray scattering at room temperatures. The difference is not due to inhomogeneous pressure within the pressure cell, as we found no broadening of either the ruby fluorescence spectrum or the NQR spectrum up to 9.1 kbar [23]. Based on these results, we decided to use the ruby fluorescence for the URu_2Si_2 NMR study. This approach to measuring the pressure is superior because it provides information about the pressure as a function of temperature



Figure 1: (Color Online) The phase diagram of URu_2Si_2, reproduced from [22]. The temperature dependence of the pressure as measured by ruby fluorescence is shown for applied pressures of 2.0 kbar (black diamonds) and 9.1 kbar (red squares). Note that in the temperature range of interest, the pressure varies by less than 0.1 kbar. The fit parameter Δ extracted from the fits to the T_1^{-1} data (green triangles) is shown as a function of pressure.

and does not require that Cu_2O be included within the NMR solenoid. The pressure measured in a clamp-cell can vary as a function of temperature, particularly at low pressures (< 10 kbar). Since URu_2Si_2 (Fig. 1) exhibits multiple phases in this pressure regime, it is crucial to know the actual temperature dependence of the pressure *in situ* during the experiment. It is important to note that while the freezing of the pressure fluid around 200K causes a decrease in the applied pressure before it increases below 100K, the pressure in the region of interest for this experiment varies by less than 0.1 kbar.

3. NMR Results

Spectra and Knight Shift. ^{29}Si NMR spectra were acquired by sweeping frequency at $H_0 = 11.72$ T in a high homogeneity NMR magnet with the field parallel to the c–axis of the crystal. In this field, T_{HO} is suppressed to 16 K [26]. The ^{29}Si spectra were measured by spin echoes, and the signal-to-noise ratio was enhanced by summing several (16 to 24) echoes acquired via a Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) pulse sequence with $t_{90} = 4.5\mu$s and an acquisition time of 108μs. The CPMG sequence requires that the spin-spin relaxation time, $T_2 = 7.692$ms for URu_2Si_2in the normal state, is long compared to the acquisition of pulses, as is the case here. At ambient pressure, the spin-lattice-relaxation rate T_1^{-1} was measured as a function of the angle between the alignment axis and the magnetic field $\mathbf{H}_0$ in order to properly align the sample, since T_1^{-1} is a strong function of orientation with a minimum for $\mathbf{H}_0 \parallel c$. Figure 2(a) shows the temperature dependence of the ^{29}Si spectrum at 9.1 kbar. A comparison of the Knight shifts at ambient pressure, 2kbar, and 9.1 kbar are plotted in Fig. 2(b). The Knight shift probes the magnetic susceptibility through the hyperfine coupling, and the reduction of the Knight shift with applied pressure is consistent with measurements of the static magnetic susceptibility under pressure [27]. As previously observed at ambient pressure, the 30K anomaly seen in the spin-lattice-relaxation rate is not manifest in the Knight shift under pressure [10, 28]. Fig. 2(c) shows the temperature dependence of the full width half maximum of the Si resonance. The linewidth increases with applied pressure, and appears to have a peak in the powder sample around $T \sim 25K$. This peak occurs at a slightly greater temperature in the single crystal, which likely arises from variations between the samples [29, 30].

Spin Lattice Relaxation Measurements. The spin-lattice-relaxation rate was measured by inverting the magnetization and measuring the recovered magnetization by summing the echoes from a CPMG sequence. Fig. 3 shows $(T_1T)^{-1}$ versus temperature for several different pressures. The error bars are greater under pressure because of the smaller sample size and reduced signal to noise ratio.



Figure 2: (Color Online) (a) Temperature dependent spectra acquired at 9.1 kbar applied pressure. (b) Knight shift of the ambient pressure (green circles), 2.0 kbar (blue squares), and 9.1 kbar applied pressure (orange diamonds). The data at ambient and at 2.0kbar was acquired in the single crystal, and the 9.1kbar data was acquired in the aligned powder.

Nevertheless, the data indicate a maximum close to 30 K that is characteristic of the reduction of density of states below T_0 that persists under pressure. For concreteness, we fit the data in the paramagnetic region to the expression [10]:

$$\frac{1}{T_1T} = A\left(1-\tanh^2\left(\frac{\Delta}{2T}\right)\right)\frac{1}{\Delta + T\exp(-4\Delta/T)} + B, \qquad (1)$$

where A, B and Δ are variable parameters, shown as black lines in Fig. 1. Here $\left(1-\tanh^2\left(\Delta/2T\right)\right)$ is a phenomenological form of the normalized joint density of states (JDOS) at the Fermi energy E_F, which accounts for any T-dependent suppression due to a pseudogap, and $(\Delta + T\exp(-4\Delta/T))^{-1}$ is a crossover function due to 2D antiferromagnetic psuedospin fluctuations [10, 31]. Although the error bars are significant due to the poor signal to noise, the increasing trend in Δ as a function of pressure is evident, as shown in Fig. 1.

4. Discussion

The physical significance of T_0 remains unclear, but may be related either to the the Kondo hybridization gap or to the onset of fluctuations of the hidden order. In either case, it is reasonable to expect that the energy scale increases with increasing pressure. Pressure increases the overlap between the U f orbitals and the conduction electrons, leading to an enhanced Kondo scale. A similar increase was recently observed in NMR experiments in $CeRhIn_5$ under pressure [32]. Furthermore, T_{HO} also increases with pressure, so the onset temperature for fluctuations would naturally increase. Curiously, the Knight shift is suppressed by about 19% at 9.1 kbar between 23-30K. As shown in Ref. [27], the bulk susceptibility is reduced by about 23% by 15.9 kbar over the same temperature range. Assuming a linear behavior of the suppression, the bulk susceptibility should be reduced by only 13%. Therefore, the data suggest that the Knight shift has suppressed more than would be expected based on the susceptibility. A possible explanation for this discrepancy is that the transferred hyperfine coupling between the U moments and the Si nuclei increases under pressure. Similar pressure-dependent hyperfine couplings were observed



Figure 3: (Color Online)

$(T_1T)^{-1}$ versus temperature for the powder and single crystal samples under pressure. At each pressure, T_1^{-1} has a maximum at $T \sim 30K$, indicating that there is a reduction in the density of states above the hidden order transition that is robust under pressure up to 9.1 kbar. The data at ambient pressure is reproduced from [10]. Fits to the data are shown as black lines, and are discussed in the text.

in $CeRhIn_5$ [32]. Further experiments on URu_2Si_2 under pressure are challenging, however, because the spin-spin decoherence rate, T_2^{-1} increases close to the LMAF phase. As a result, fewer CPMG echoes can be summed, and the signal to noise ratio decreases. It is possible that future experiments using isotopically enriched Si may provide more detailed information about the evolution of of the temperature scales, T^* and T_0 as a function of pressure in a single crystal.

Acknowledgements

Work at UC Davis and LANL was supported by the UC Lab Research Fee Program and the National Nuclear Security Administration under the Stewardship Science Academic Alliances program through DOE Research Grant #DOE DE-FG52-09NA29464.

References

References

[1] T. T. M. Palstra, A. A. Menovsky, J. v. d. Berg, A. J. Dirkmaat, P. H. Kes, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, J. A. Mydosh, Superconducting and magnetic transitions in the heavy-fermion system URu_2Si_2, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55 (24) (1985) 2727–2730. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.2727.

[2] J. A. Mydosh, P. M. Oppeneer, *Colloquium* : Hidden order, superconductivity, and magnetism: The unsol Rev. Mod. Phys. 83 (2011) 1301–1322. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1301.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.83.1301

[3] Y. Kasahara, T. Iwasawa, H. Shishido, T. Shibauchi, K. Behnia, Y. Haga, T. D. Matsuda, Y. Onuki, M. Sigrist, Y. Matsuda, Exotic superconducting properties in the electron-hole-compensated heavy-fermion "semimetal" URu_2Si_2 Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 116402. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.116402.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.116402

[4] C. Broholm, J. K. Kjems, W. J. L. Buyers, P. Matthews, T. T. M. Palstra, A. A. Menovsky, J. A. Mydosh, Magnetic excitations and ordering in the heavy-electron superconductor URu_2Si_2, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (14) (1987) 1467–1470. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.58.1467.

[5] C. R. Wiebe, J. A. Janik, G. J. MacDougall, G. M. Luke, J. D. Garrett, H. D. Zhou, Y.-J. Jo, L. Balicas, Y. Qiu, J. R. D. Copley, Z. Yamani, W. J. L. Buyers, Gapped itinerant spin excitations account for missing entropy in the hidden-order state of URu_2Si_2, Nat. Phys. 3 (2007) 96–99. doi:10.1038/nphys522.

[6] F. Bourdarot, E. Hassinger, S. Raymond, D. Aoki, V. Taufour, L.-P. Regnault, J. Flouquet, Precise study of the resonance at $\mathbf{Q}_0 = (1, 0, 0)$ in URu_2Si_2, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 79 (6) (2010) 064719. doi:10.1143/JPSJ.79.064719.
URL http://jpsj.ipap.jp/link?JPSJ/79/064719/

[7] H.-H. Kung, R. E. Baumbach, E. D. Bauer, V. K. Thorsmolle, W.-L. Zhang, K. Haule, J. A. Mydosh, G. Blumberg, Chirality density wave of the "hidden order" phase in URu_2Si_2, Science 347 (6228) (2015) 1339–1342. doi:10.1126/science.1259729.
URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1259729

[8] K. Haule, G. Kotliar, Arrested kondo effect and hidden order in URu_2Si_2, Nat. Phys. 5 (11) (2009) 796–799.
URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1392

[9] J. T. Haraldsen, Y. Dubi, N. J. Curro, A. V. Balatsky, Hidden-order pseudogap in URu_2Si_2, Phys. Rev. B 84 (2011) 214410. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.84.214410.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.214410

[10] K. R. Shirer, J. T. Haraldsen, A. P. Dioguardi, J. Crocker, N. apRoberts Warren, A. C. Shockley, C.-H. Lin, D. M. Nis-

son, J. C. Cooley, M. Janoschek, K. Huang, N. Kanchanavatee, M. B. Maple, M. J. Graf, A. V. Balatsky, N. J. Curro, Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of pseudospin fluctuations in URu_2Si_2, Phys. Rev. B 88 (2013) 094436. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.88.094436.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.094436

[11] M. B. Maple, J. W. Chen, Y. Dalichaouch, T. Kohara, C. Rossel, M. S. Torikachvili, M. W. McElfresh, J. D. Thompson, Partially gapped fermi surface in the heavy-electron superconductor URu_2Si_2, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (2) (1986) 185–188. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.185.

[12] K. Hasselbach, J. R. Kirtley, P. Lejay, Point-contact spectroscopy of superconducting URu_2Si_2, Phys. Rev. B 46 (1992) 5826–5829. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.46.5826.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.5826

[13] J. A. Janik, H. D. Zhou, Y.-J. Jo, L. Balicas, G. J. MacDougall, G. M. Luke, J. D. Garrett, K. J. McClellan, E. D. Bauer, J. L. Sarrao, Y. Qiu, J. R. D. Copley, Z. Yamani, W. J. L. Buyers, C. R. Wiebe, Itinerant spin excitations near the hidden order transition in URu_2Si_2, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 (19) (2009) 192202. doi:10.1088/0953-8984/21/19/192202.

[14] W. Schlabitz, J. Baumann, B. Pollit, U. Rauchschwalbe, H. Mayer, U. Ahlheim, C. Bredl, Superconductivity and magnetic order in a strongly interacting fermi-system: URu_2Si_2, in: H. Ott (Ed.), Ten Years of Superconductivity: 1980 - 1990, Vol. 7 of Perspectives in Condensed Matter Physics, Springer Netherlands, 1993, pp. 89–95. doi:10.1007/978-94-011-1622-0_9.
URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1622-0_9

[15] N. H. van Dijk, F. Bourdarot, J. C. P. Klaasse, I. H. Hagmusa, E. Brück, A. A. Menovsky, Specific heat of heavy-fermion URu_2Si_2 in high magnetic fields, Phys.

Rev. B 56 (1997) 14493–14498. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.56.14493.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.14493

[16] A. de Visser, F. E. Kayzel, A. A. Menovsky, J. J. M. Franse, J. van den Berg, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, Thermal expansion and specific heat of monocrystalline URu_2Si_2, Phys. Rev. B 34 (1986) 8168–8171. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.34.8168.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.34.8168

[17] B. Wolf, W. Sixl, R. Graf, D. Finsterbusch, G. Bruls, B. L uthi, E. Knetsch, A. Menovsky, J. Mydosh, Elastic properties of the heavy fermion superconductor URu_2Si_2, J. Low Temp. Phys. 94 (3-4) (1994) 307–324. doi:10.1007/BF00754672.
URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00754672

[18] D. A. Bonn, J. D. Garrett, T. Timusk, Far-infrared properties of URu_2Si_2, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 1305–1308. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.1305.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.1305

[19] M. K. Liu, R. D. Averitt, T. Durakiewicz, P. H. Tobash, E. D. Bauer, S. A. Trugman, A. J. Taylor, D. A. Yarotski, Evidence of a hidden-order pseudogap state in URu_2Si_2 using ultrafast optical spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. B 84 (2011) 161101. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.84.161101.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.161101

[20] J. Levallois, F. Lévy-Bertrand, M. K. Tran, D. Stricker, J. A. Mydosh, Y.-K. Huang, D. van der Marel, Hybridization gap and anisotropic far-infrared optical conductivity of URu_2Si_2, Phys. Rev. B 84 (2011) 184420. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.84.184420.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.184420

[21] K. Matsuda, Y. Kohori, T. Kohara, K. Kuwahara, H. Amitsuka, Spatially inhomogeneous development of antiferromagnetism in URu_2Si_2: Evidence

from ^{29}Si NMR under pressure, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (8) (2001) 087203. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.087203.

[22] E. Hassinger, G. Knebel, K. Izawa, P. Lejay, B. Salce, J. Flouquet, Temperature-pressure phase diagram of URu_2Si_2 from resistivity measurements and ac calorimetry: Hido Phys. Rev. B 77 (2008) 115117. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.77.115117.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.115117

[23] K. Syassen, Ruby under pressure, High Pressure Research 28 (2) (2008) 75–126. arXiv:http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08957950802235640, doi:10.1080/08957950802235640.
URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08957950802235640

[24] A. P. Reyes, E. T. Ahrens, R. H. Heffner, P. C. Hammel, J. D. Thompson, Cuprous oxide manometer for high pressure magnetic resonance experiments, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 63 (5) (1992) 3120–3122. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1142564.
URL http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/rsi/63/5/10.1063/1.1142564

[25] K. Kitagawa, H. Gotou, T. Yagi, A. Yamada, T. Matsumoto, Y. Uwatoko, M. Takigawa, Space efficient opposed-anvil high-pressure cell and its application to optical and nmr measurements up t Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 79 (2) (2010) 024001. arXiv:http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.79.024001, doi:10.1143/JPSJ.79.024001.
URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.79.024001

[26] N. Harrison, M. Jaime, J. A. Mydosh, Reentrant hidden order at a metamagnetic quantum critical end point, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 096402. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.096402.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.096402

[27] C. Pfleiderer, J. A. Mydosh, M. Vojta, Pressure dependence of the magnetization of URu_2Si_2, Phys. Rev. B

74 (2006) 104412. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.74.104412.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.104412

[28] K. R. Shirer, A. C. Shockley, A. P. Dioguardi, J. Crocker, C. H. Lin, N. apRoberts Warren, D. M. Nisson, P. Klavins, J. C. Cooley, Y.-f. Yang, N. J. Curro, Long range order and two-fluid behavior in heavy electron materials, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109 (45) (2012) E3067–E3073. doi:10.1073/pnas.1209609109.
URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1209609109

[29] O. O. Bernal, C. Rodrigues, A. Martinez, H. G. Lukefahr, D. E. MacLaughlin, A. A. Menovsky, J. A. Mydosh, ^{29}Si NMR and hidden order in URu_2Si_2, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (19) (2001) 196402. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.196402.

[30] S. Kambe, Y. Tokunaga, H. Sakai, T. D. Matsuda, Y. Haga, Z. Fisk, R. E. Walstedt, NMR study of in-plane twofold ordering in URu_2Si_2, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 246406. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.246406.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.246406

[31] Y. Bang, M. J. Graf, N. J. Curro, A. V. Balatsky, Nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate in pumga$_5$ (m = rh, co): A two-component spin-fermion model, Phys. Rev. B 74 (5) (2006) 054514. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.74.054514.

[32] C. H. Lin, K. R. Shirer, J. Crocker, A. P. Dioguardi, M. M. Lawson, B. T. Bush, P. Klavins, N. J. Curro, Evolution of hyperfine parameters across a quantum critical point in cerhin$_5$, Phys. Rev. B 92 (2015) 155147. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.92.155147.
URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.155147